

## **CCC Citizens' Panel Oversight Group: Meeting 2 minutes**

Date of meeting: Monday 8<sup>th</sup> of April, 1pm-3pm

Attendance: Gillian Cooper (Citizens' Advice), Yasmin Ibison (Joseph Rowntree Foundation), Justin Macmullan (Which?), Christina Demski (University of Bath), Lucie Gadenne (Institute for Fiscal Studies)

Chair: Rebecca Willis (Lancaster University)

Apologies: Christopher Holmes (King's College London), Sarah Allan (Involve), Lindsay Judge (Resolution Foundation), Alison Todd (Office for Budget Responsibility), Toby Park (Behavioural Insights Team)

Also in attendance: Representatives from Sciencewise, Ipsos, Ursus and CCC secretariat

### Agenda item 1: Actions and decision from last meeting

The CCC gave a summary of the actions and decision made on the key issues that the Oversight Group raised in the last meeting, and no questions or issues were raised regarding the decisions.

### Agenda item 2: Overview of panel sessions

Ipsos gave a summary of the key changes that have been made to the structure of the panel session following the last meeting, with longer time allocated to deliberating policy areas and a shorter wrap up stage.

### Agenda item 3: Policies for surface transport and home heating

The CCC introduced to the group options for the range of policies to present to the panel. The group expressed a preference for allowing the panel to discuss all policies currently in the Net Zero Distributional Model. The group also suggested to allow the panel to discuss alternative policies if they wish to but be clear that the CCC may not be able to model them.

### Agenda item 4: Specialists

The CCC introduced ideas for specialists to present to the panel for feedback from the group. The group suggested a number of specialists covering home heating, surface transport, aviation and diet. The group suggested that for aviation and diet, it is important to have a neutral introduction to policy options from the CCC, before reactions from industry/NGOs. The group also brought up the importance of having a presenter who could give an introductory overview to the policies and explain the trade-off between how they are funded, and suggested academics in the climate/energy field who may be appropriate.

#### Agenda item 5: Presentation of distributional impacts

The CCC introduced different options for presenting distributional impacts to the panel. The group expressed a preference for presenting impacts both on household archetypes, and more broadly across key characteristics. The group suggested a number of different options for presenting cost impacts on households to the panel (e.g. metrics, how to visualise).

#### Agenda item 6: Next steps and future meetings

The CCC outlined the plan for future engagement with the Oversight Group including the next meeting in June.